ORIGINAL

JUN 1 3 2001

AO 241 (Rev. 5/85)

PETITION UNDER 28 USC § 2254 FOR WRITREN
HABEAS CORPUS BY A PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY

| United States District Court | District Middle District of Pennsylvania |
|---|---|
| Name Edward Ackerman | Prisoner No. Case No. CW-5843 |
| Place of Confinement | |
| S.C.I. Houtzdale P.O. Box 1000, Houtzdale, PA 166 | $_{98}1:\mathbf{CV-01-104}$ |
| Name of Petitioner (include name under which convicted) | Name of Respondent (authorized person having custody of petitioner) |
| Edward Ackerman | V. John McCullough |
| The Attorney General of the State of: Pennsylvania | |
| PET | TITION |
| Name and location of court which entered the judgment | of conviction under attack Court of Common |
| Pleas of Schuylkill County, Penn | sylvania, Pottsville, PA |
| | • |
| 2. Date of judgment of conviction Sept. 13 | 1995 |
| 3. Length of sentence $\frac{4\frac{1}{2}}{1}$ to 13 years | |
| 4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) Rap | e. IDSI. Aggravated Indecent |
| 4. Nature of offense involved (all counts) Assault, Indecent Assault, Simp | le Assault and Terroristic |
| | |
| Threats | |
| | |
| 5. What was your plea? (Check one) (a) Not guilty (b) Guilty (c) Nolo contendere It you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, | and a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, give details: |
| | |
| 6. If you pleaded not guilty, what kind of trial did you h (a) Jury XX (b) Judge only | nave? (Check one) |
| 7. Did you testify at the trial? Yes \(\subseteq \text{No XX} \) | |
| 8. Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? YesXX No □ | |

TANKE COL

| AO 241 | (Rev. 5/85) | - |
|--------|-------------|---|

| (a) Name of | court Superior Court of Pennsylvania |
|--|--|
| | Affirmed |
| (b) Result_ 2 Appea. (c) Date of | ls. 1 interlocutory after hung jury, Nov. 07, 1994 |
| | Traised Double Jeopardy, Weight of the Evidence, Jury tions, Failure to sentence, Police Testimony |
| | ought further review of the decision on appear by a higher state court, please answer the following: |
| | ne of count Supreme Court of Pennsylvania |
| (2) Resi | ul Petition for Allowance of Appeal Denied |
| (3) Date | of result and citation, if known |
| (4) Gro | unds raised same as above |
| | |
| each dir | |
| each dir (1) Nan | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect tect appeal: N/A |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to ect appeal: N/A ne of court |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi (3) Date | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to ect appeal: N/A ne of court |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi (3) Date | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to ect appeal: N/A ne of court of result and citation, if known |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi (3) Date (4) Gro | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to ect appeal: N/A ne of court of result and citation, if known unds raised a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petition, or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi (3) Date (4) Gro O. Other than applications Yes XX No | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to ect appeal: N/A ne of court of result and citation, if known unds raised a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petition, or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi (3) Date (4) Gro O. Other than applications Yes XX No 1. If your ans | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to ect appeal: N/A ne of court ult c of result and citation, if known unds raised a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petition, or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? |
| (1) Nam (2) Resi (3) Date (4) Gro O. Other than applications Yes XX No 1. If your ans (a) (1) Na | led'a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court, please answer the following with respect to the ect appeal: N/A The of court The of result and citation, if known The of result and citation, if known The direct appeal from the judgment of conviction and sentence, have you previously filed any petition, or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal? The off Court off Court off Court of Court off |

| | - | |
|-----|--------------------------|--|
| | - | |
| | | Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? |
| | | res 1 No |
| | - | Result Petition Denied |
| | (6) I | Date of result 9/1/1998 |
| (b) | As t | o any second petition, application or motion give the same information: |
| | (1) | Name of court |
| | (2) | Nature of proceeding |
| | | |
| | (3) | Grounds raised |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (4) | Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition, application or motion? Yes No |
| | (5) | Result |
| | (6) | Date of result |
| ,_ |) Did | you appeal to the highest state court having jurisdiction the result of action taken on any petition, application |
| I C | mo | tion? XX First petition, etc. Yes U No □ |
| (c | 711 | Second petition, etc. Yes \(\text{Ves} \(\text{D} \) No \(\text{D} \) |
| (c | (2) | Second peution, etc. 165 Li 140 Li |
| | (2) | |
| | (2) | |
| | (2) | |
| | (2) | ou did <i>not</i> appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not |
| | (2) | |
| (d | (2)) If y | ou did not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not not not appeal from the adverse action on any petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not |
| (d | (2)) If y tate coach gr | |

AO 241 (Rev. 5/85)

For your information, the following is a list of the most frequently raised grounds for relief in habeas corpus proceedings. Each statement preceded by a letter constitutes a separate ground for possible relief. You may raise any grounds which you may have other than those listed if you have exhausted your state court remedies with respect to them. However, you should raise in this petition all available grounds (relating to this conviction) on which you base your allegations that you are being held in custody unlawfully.

Do not check any of these listed grounds. If you select one or more of these grounds for relief, you must allege facts. The petition will be returned to you if you merely check (a) through (j) or any one of these grounds.

- (a) Conviction obtained by plea of guilty which was unlawfully induced or not made voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charge and the consequences of the plea.
- (b) Conviction obtained by use of coerced confession.
- (c) Conviction obtained by use of evidence gained pursuant to an unconstitutional search and seizure.
- (d) Conviction obtained by use of evidence obtained pursuant to an unlawful arrest.
- (e) Conviction obtained by a violation of the privilege against self-incrimination.
- (f) Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.
- (g) Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection against double jeopardy.
- (h) Conviction obtained by action of a grand or petit jury which was unconstitutionally selected and impaneled.
- (i) Denial of effective assistance of counsel.
- (j) Denial of right of appeal.

| A. Ground one: Conviction obtained by a violation of the protection |
|---|
| against double jeopardy. |
| Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law) The trial court dismissed the original jury after less than three (3) hours of deliberatio |
| The court failed to weigh the option of having the jury adjourn |
| for the day and continue the next day. The jury was not held |
| beyond 4:00 p.m. on the day the trial ended. |
| |
| |
| B. Ground two: Denial of effective assistance of counsel. |
| Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): Counsel failed to obtain |
| a verbatim copy of the alleged statement made by the defendant. |
| The Commonwealth never provided and it is questionable if any |
| copy of this statement ever existed. The police testified |
| That if a copoy of the alleged statement didat one time exist |
| it no longer does. |
| |

AO 241 (Rev. 5/85)

| Libertianal failumo |
|--|
| C. Ground three: Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence |
| favorable to the defendant. Unconstitutional withholding of |
| |
| evidence (statements). Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): The Commonwealth intro- |
| duced a redeacted version of statements allegedly made by the |
| defendant. In this redaction the Commonwealth lost or destroyed |
| the original statement. The Commonwealth has never provided |
| any copy of the statement. |
| |
| |
| D. Ground four Conviction obtained by a violation of double jeop- |
| ardy. Defendant was retried for the greater offense after |
| a conviction for the lesser offense. Supporting FACTS (state briefly without citing cases or law): The defendant was tried |
| for the greater offense of rape after he had been convicted of the |
| lesser included offense of indecent assault. The Commonwealth |
| deliberately delayed sentencing on the lesser charge for more than |
| three years after the conviction. |
| |
| |
| (please see attached sheets for additional grounds.) |
| 13. If any of the grounds listed in 12A, B, C, and D were not previously presented in any other court, state or federal, state briefly what grounds were not so presented, and give your reasons for not presenting them: 12 E. denial |
| of DNA testing was raised by the defendant in a pro-se post conviction |
| petition, but appointed counsel failed to pursue the issue. The |
| Superior Court of Pennsylvania then deemed the issue waived. Petit- |
| ioner has no available state remedies. |
| 14. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any court, either state or federal, as to the judgment under attack? Yes \(\subseteq \text{NoXX} \) |
| 15. Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment attacked |
| herein: (a) At preliminary hearing Public Defender of Schuylkill County |
| |
| (b) At arraignment and plea Kent Watkins, 101 S. Second St., St Clair, |
| PA, 17970 |
| |

| AO | 241 | (Rev. | 5/85 |
|----|-----|-------|------|
| | | | |

| ē | (c) | At trial Kent Watkins |
|-----|------|--|
| | (d) | At sentencing Kent Watkins |
| | (e) | On appeal Kent Watkins |
| | | In any post-conviction proceeding Joseph Semasek, Schuylkill Cty. Public Defender |
| | (g) | On appeal from any adverse ruling in a post-conviction proceeding |
| 16. | sam | re you sentenced on more than one count of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at the time? No |
| 17. | Yes | you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack? □ No □ If so, give name and location of court which imposed sentence to be served in the future: |
| | (b) | Give date and length of the above sentence: |
| | (c) | Have you filed, or do you contemplate filing, any petition attacking the judgment which imposed the sentence to be served in the future? Yes No |
| | Whe | crefore, petitioner prays that the Court grant petitioner relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding. |
| | | Signature of Attorney (if any) |
| | I de | cclare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 5/29/0/ (date) |
| | | (date) Zhe Clekera Signature of Petitioner |
| | | |

Additional grounds for relief:

12. E. Conviction obtained by the unconstitutional failure of the prosecution to disclose to the defendant evidence favorable to the defendant.

Supporting facts: The prosecution failed to have the hairs obtained recovered subjected to DMA testing. DMA testing was available and used by the Pennsylvania State Police in 1995 when the defendant was tried.

